

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/939,897	08/27/2001	Hiroshi Komori	KOMORI ET AL-2	4075
7:	590 12/05/2003		EXAM	INER
COLLARD & ROE, P.C.			HODGES, MATTHEW P	
1077 Northern Boulevard Roslyn, NY 11576-1696		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2879	
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		XY_				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/939,897	KOMORI ET AL.				
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matt P Hodges	2879				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2003 FAILS TO PLATHEREFORE, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three managements.	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe late on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distantion period for reply originally set in the statutory period for	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee le fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	f finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		separate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: ⊴	or reconsideration has been cor see Attached Explanation.	nsidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
- -						

Application/Control Number: 09/939,897

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/12/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's argument that the cited references do not sufficiently teach the use of TiO₂ in the panel glass as claimed, examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant has submitted that the cited references do not disclose the use of TiO₂ in order to prevent browning of the panel glass under X-ray bombardment. However the use of the material in the claimed glass is not considered a patentable limitation distinguishing the structure of the glass from that taught by the examiner. In this case examiner teaches the use of TiO₂ to adjust the viscosity of the glass in order to beneficially assist in the manufacture of the panel glass. Though the motivation for combining the secondary reference does not specifically address the problem as stated by the applicant, the combination does address a problem known in the art and results in a device that meets all of the structural limitations of the applicant's claim. Therefore absent any evidence from the applicant that the combination or motivation for combining the cited references is improper as related to the motivation stated in the final rejection, the examiner does not feel that the rejection has been overcome by the arguments cited in the response dated 11/12/2003.

Further applicant's argument that the cited references disclose a range that is broader than the claimed range and thus does not anticipate the ratio as claimed, examiner respectfully disagrees. There is nothing to suggest that the ratio is not met by the stated references and as such the mere fact that the stated references disclose more options than are specifically claimed is not considered grounds for withdraw of the rejection.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matt P Hodges whose telephone number is (703) 305-4015. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (703) 305-4794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

mph mf

ASHOK PATE: